

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,575	12/30/2003	John Kam Ho Lee	MCHK/146/US	8074
2543 7	7590 05/26/2005		EXAM	INER
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP 750 MAIN STREET		TRIEU, VAN THANH		
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD,	CT 06103		2636	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/748,575	LEE, JOHN KAM HO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Van T. Trieu	2636		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN COMM	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moreover and the cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u>0 December 2003</u> .			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-final.			
3)□					
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er Εχ paπe Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		•		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) \square objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-:·· •	• ,		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burgee the attached detailed Office action for a least open content.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachment	Ne)				
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Intentious	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	1 100				

Application/Control Number: 10/748,575

Art Unit: 2636

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hackett [US 4,360,905].

Regarding claim 1, the claimed alarm system comprising an alarm controller (the intrusion alarm system comprising a control unit 10 having a control circuit 50 for controlling the alarm functions, see Fig. 1,col. 1, lines 57-60, col. 2, lines 61-63 and col. 4, lines 42-68); and the remote input/output device (the remote processor 14 comprises a flashing LED 42 and an annunciator for output to indicate of intrusion upon detecting of motion or intruder, and then feedback the detected signal with flash LED signal to the control unit 10 via a two-conducted cable 12, see Figs. 1, 3, 12A and 12B, col. 3, lines 55-68, col. 4, lines 1-27 and col. 7, lines 21-68); and the cable extending from the alarm controller to the remote input/output device, the cable comprising two conductors providing power to the remote input/output device (the two-conductor cable 12 for providing 11 volts to a remote processor 14, see Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 61-68, col. 3, lines 1-2 and col. 6, lines 6-8); and the signal processor at the controller monitoring and processing voltage and current fluctuations in the conductors and controlling functions

of the alarm system (the control circuit 50 of the controller unit 10 receives/detects feedback signals including increasing/decreasing of voltage according of the flashing LED 42 and changing in the load current flowing through cable 12 from the remote processor 14, and then for actuating the alarm output, resetting the remote processor 14, etc., see Figs. 1-3 and 10-12, col. 1, lines 63-68, col. 2, lines 1-4 and 61-67, col. 4, lines 1-41, col. 6, lines 6-15, col. 7, lines 21-68 and col. 8, lines 14-17).

Regarding claim 2, the claimed mixer at the remote input/output device operative to affect current and voltage at the two conductor, which reads upon the signal processor 38 receiving electrical power and ultrasonic intrusion detector 34 for flashing the LED 42 and annunciator. Both the detected intrusion signal and LED flashing signal are feedback to the control unit 10 for operating the alarm, see Figs. 1, 3, 12A and 12B, col. 3, lines 55-68, col. 4, lines 1-27 and col. 7, lines 21-68.

Regarding claim 3, the claimed signal processor monitors for predefined voltage and current levels at the two conductors (the control circuit 50 monitors of 11 DC volts and load current of 5 mA flowing through the two-conductor cable 12, see col. 6, lines 6-15 and col. 7, lines 21-68).

Regarding claim 4, all the claimed subject matters are cited in respect to claims 2 and 3 above.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/748,575

Art Unit: 2636

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Farrell et al discloses a flow sensor for detecting the absence of a minimum fluid flow in

a sprinkler system. The two-wire flow sensor system is respectively a power supply and

return signal. An alarm is given when current flow in the supply and return wires

exceeds a first value or fall below a second value.

[US 5,049,860]

Page 4

Shaw et al discloses an environmental detection system includes a microprocessor-

based and control panel connected to one or more remote detector loops having two

conducting wires. The remote detector loops receives electrical power through two-

conducting wires. [US 5,138,562]

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

examiner should be directed to primary examiner Van Trieu whose telephone number

is (571) 272-2972. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:00 AM to

3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Jeffery Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981.

Van Trieu

Primary Examiner

Date: 5/20/05